

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
EASTERN DIVISION**

---

PAUL WALLACE DINWIDDIE,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. 1:14-cv-1210-JDB-egb
	)	
TAMMY FORD,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	

---

**ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO MODIFY RESPONDENT  
AND  
DIRECTING RESPONDENT TO FILE RESPONSE**

---

On August 22, 2014, Petitioner, Paul Wallace Dinwiddie, Tennessee Department of Correction number 97804, an inmate at the Whiteville Correctional Facility (“WCF”) in Whiteville, Tennessee, filed a *pro se* Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody (“§ 2254 Petition”). (§ 2254 Pet., *Dinwiddie v. Lindamood*, 1:14-cv-01210-JDB-egb (W.D. Tenn.), Electronic Case Filing (“ECF”) No. 1.)<sup>1</sup>

It is ORDERED, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, that Respondent file a response within twenty-three days of the entry of this Order. The response shall include the complete trial and appellate record of Petitioner’s original case and any subsequent state petitions for collateral relief.

Pursuant to Rule 5(e), Dinwiddie may, if he chooses, submit a reply to Respondent’s answer or response within thirty days of service. He may request an extension of time to reply if

---

<sup>1</sup>The Clerk is directed to substitute current WCF Warden Tammy Ford for Cherry Lindamood as the respondent in this action. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d).

his motion is filed on or before the due date of his response. The Court will address the merits of the petition or motion after the conclusion of Petitioner's time to reply, as extended.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 10th day of May, 2016.

**s/ J. DANIEL BREEN**

J. DANIEL BREEN

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE